COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR INTERVAL B&B GLOBAL OPTIMIZATION METHODS

Boglárka G.-Tóth, José Fernández and Leocadio González Casado

30 September, 2008

SCAN 2008

BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

SCAN 2008 1 / 20

OUTLINE

1 Interval **B&B** method

2 Pruning Method with Support Functions

3 BAUMANN TENT PRUNING-DIVIDING METHOD

COMPARISON OF THE METHODS

5 Some ways to combine the methods

BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

OUTLINE

● INTERVAL B&B METHOD

2 Pruning Method with Support Functions

3 BAUMANN TENT PRUNING-DIVIDING METHOD

4 Comparison of the methods

5 Some ways to combine the methods

BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

INTERVAL ANALYSIS

Notation

- $x = [\underline{x}, \overline{x}] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a real interval, \mathbb{I} is the set of real intervals.
- $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \in \mathbb{I}^n = \mathbb{I} \times \dots \times \mathbb{I}$ is called a box.
- $w(x) = \overline{x} \underline{x}$ is the width of the box
- $f(x) = \{f(x) : x \in x\}$ denotes the range of f over x.

Arithmetic operations

Interval arithmetic operations are defined by

$$x * y = \{x * y : x \in x, y \in y\}$$
 for $x, y \in \mathbb{I}$,

where $* \in \{+, -, \cdot, /\}$, and x/y is only defined if $0 \notin y$. For example

$$x + y = [\underline{x} + \underline{y}, \overline{x} + \overline{y}]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ - □ - つへの

INCLUSION FUNCTION



Definition

A function $f : \mathbb{I}^n \to \mathbb{I}$ is said to be an *inclusion function* for $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ provided that $f(x) \subseteq f(x)$ for all boxes $x \subset \mathbb{I}^n$ within the domain of f.

INTERVAL **B&B** METHOD: THE PROTOTYPE ALGORITHM

SCAN 2008 6 / 20

<□> <同> <同> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三> <○<

INTERVAL B&B METHOD: THE PROTOTYPE **ALGORITHM**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}} \leftarrow \S, \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}} \leftarrow \emptyset$$
while ($\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}} \neq \emptyset$) do
Select an interval *x* from $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}}$
Compute $f(x)$
Selection Rule
Divide *x* into subintervals x^1, \dots, x^p
Division Rule
for $i = 1, \dots, p$ do
if (x^i satisfies the termination criterion)
Store x^i in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}$
else
Store x^i in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{W}}$
return $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}$

1 **SCAN 2008** 6 / 20

DQC

イロト イヨト イヨト

1 INTERVAL B&B METHOD

2 PRUNING METHOD WITH SUPPORT FUNCTIONS

3 BAUMANN TENT PRUNING-DIVIDING METHOD

4 Comparison of the methods

5 Some ways to combine the methods

BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

SCAN 2008 7 / 20

PRUNING METHOD WITH SUPPORT FUNCTIONS: ONE-DIMENSINAL CASE



BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

SCAN 2008 8 / 20

PRUNING METHOD WITH SUPPORT FUNCTIONS: MULTI-DIMENSIONAL CASE



PRUNING METHOD WITH SUPPORT FUNCTIONS: MULTI-DIMENSIONAL CASE



BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

SCAN 2008 10 / 20

PRUNING METHOD WITH SUPPORT FUNCTIONS: PSEUDO-CODE

Calculate RejectArea[i], $i = 1 \dots n$ using the centered form to obtain $lbf(X_i^m)$.

if (pruning is possible)

Prune (and divide) the coordinate which maximize the rejected area.

else

Evaluate the natural inclusion if it was better until now for the highest lower bound $lbf(X_i^m)$.

if (pruning is not possible using the new lower bound) Divide using Ratz and Csendes' Rule C:

$$\max_i w(\boldsymbol{g}_i(\boldsymbol{x})(\boldsymbol{x}_i - \mathbf{m}(\boldsymbol{x}_i))).$$

return the generated subintervals with their Support Vectors.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

1 INTERVAL B&B METHOD

2 Pruning Method with Support Functions

3 BAUMANN TENT PRUNING-DIVIDING METHOD

4 Comparison of the methods

5 Some ways to combine the methods

BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

SCAN 2008 12 / 20



BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

SCAN 2008 13 / 20

590

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日



BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

- 2 **SCAN 2008** 13 / 20

DQC

<ロ> <同> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>







BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

SCAN 2008 13 / 20

DQC







BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

SCAN 2008 15 / 20

DQC

< D > < P





< D > < P

- 4 E

BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

SCAN 2008 15 / 20

590

x





BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

SCAN 2008 15 / 20

DQC

<ロト < 回 > < 回 > .



BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

SCAN 2008 15 / 20

DQC



BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

SCAN 2008 15 / 20

BAUMANN TENT PRUNING-DIVIDING METHOD: PSEUDO-CODE

Compute the shifting factor vector *sf*, and sort it to *ssf* out = # directions where the middlebox is out, and $pref = \frac{vol(SPB_{out})}{2u+2u+t}$; for (k = out + 1; k < n; k + +) do if (it is possible to shift more with ssf_k) Compute $vol(SPB_k)$ from $vol(SPB_{k-1})$ shifting with ssf_k ; if $\left(\frac{vol(SPB_k)}{2n-2k} > pref\right)$ $pref = \frac{vol(SPB_k)}{2n-2k};$ prefshift = k;else break: **if** (pref > PruningIndex) Prune the box *SPB*_{prefshift}, generating the remaining boxes; else Divide the box into subintervals: return the generated subintervals;

<ロト < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

1) INTERVAL B&B METHOD

2 PRUNING METHOD WITH SUPPORT FUNCTIONS

3 BAUMANN TENT PRUNING-DIVIDING METHOD

COMPARISON OF THE METHODS

5 Some ways to combine the methods

BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

COMPARISON OF THE METHODS

Componentwise Pruning

Advantages

- Higher speedup (2.37)
- Always two box generated
- Natural or centered form use

Baumann Tent Pruning

Advantages

- Low storing necessity
- No additional function evaluation
- Adaptive division

Disadvantages

- High storing necessity
- More evaluation for easy problems

Disadvantages

- Lower speedup (1.84)
- Bad shaped and too many new boxes

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

500

1 INTERVAL B&B METHOD

2 PRUNING METHOD WITH SUPPORT FUNCTIONS

3 BAUMANN TENT PRUNING-DIVIDING METHOD

4 Comparison of the methods

5 Some ways to combine the methods

BOGLÁRKA G.-TÓTH (BOG@MATH.BME.HU) COMPARISON OF PRUNING TESTS FOR IB&B

 We can do first the componentwise pruning, and when the centered form becomes better, change to the Baumann Tent pruning.

 We can do first the componentwise pruning, and when the centered form becomes better, change to the Baumann Tent pruning.

- We can do first the componentwise pruning, and when the centered form becomes better, change to the Baumann Tent pruning.
- When the Baumann point is nearer to the border than the center (so when we would get bad shaped boxes), do the componentwise pruning.

SCAN 2008

20 / 20

- We can do first the componentwise pruning, and when the centered form becomes better, change to the Baumann Tent pruning.
- When the Baumann point is nearer to the border than the center (so when we would get bad shaped boxes), do the componentwise pruning. It is necessary to compute support functions for the new boxes from Baumann Tent pruning. See here.

- We can do first the componentwise pruning, and when the centered form becomes better, change to the Baumann Tent pruning.
- When the Baumann point is nearer to the border than the center (so when we would get bad shaped boxes), do the componentwise pruning. It is necessary to compute support functions for the new boxes from Baumann Tent pruning. See here.
- Decide on which to use by computing the pruneable region by both method and choose the better <u>vol</u> <u>#new boxes</u>.

- We can do first the componentwise pruning, and when the centered form becomes better, change to the Baumann Tent pruning.
- When the Baumann point is nearer to the border than the center (so when we would get bad shaped boxes), do the componentwise pruning. It is necessary to compute support functions for the new boxes from Baumann Tent pruning. See here.
- Decide on which to use by computing the pruneable region by both method and choose the better ^{vol}/_{#new boxes}. It can be inefficient with so much computation. The support functions have to be computed for the new boxes of the Baumann Tent pruning.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

- We can do first the componentwise pruning, and when the centered form becomes better, change to the Baumann Tent pruning.
- When the Baumann point is nearer to the border than the center (so when we would get bad shaped boxes), do the componentwise pruning. It is necessary to compute support functions for the new boxes from Baumann Tent pruning. See here.
- Decide on which to use by computing the pruneable region by both method and choose the better ^{vol}/_{#new boxes}. It can be inefficient with so much computation. The support functions have to be computed for the new boxes of the Baumann Tent pruning.
- Do the componentwise pruning only from the middle, so no storing of the support vectors are necessary, and we can use the 2nd or 3rd idea.

- J. A. Martínez, L. G. Casado, I. García, and B. Tóth. AMIGO: Advanced multidimensional interval analysis global optimization algorithm. In *Frontiers in global optimization*, volume 74 of *Nonconvex Optimization and Its Applications*, pages 313–326. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2004.
 - B. Tóth and L. G. Casado.

Multi-dimensional pruning from the Baumann point in an interval global optimization algorithm.

Journal of Global Optimization, 38:215–236, 2007.