Principles of Verified Computations

by

R. Baker Kearfott, rbk@louisiana.edu Department of Mathematics, University of Louisiana at Lafayette

Many have recently become aware of interval computations, but when such methods are practical is the subject of continuing research. Nonetheless, the ability of interval analysis to computationally verify existence and uniqueness of actual solutions near approximate solutions obtained by traditional methods, as well as to supply mathematically rigorous bounds on these actual solutions for a wide variety of problems, has not been fully appreciated. We will ameliorate that in this talk.

Verified Computations Intro.

Talk Outline

We will

- introduce the elements of interval arithmetic,
- show how Newton Methods can be used to verify solutions to both linear and nonlinear problems,
- Mention successes in practical areas.

Verified Computations Intro.

What is Interval Arithmetic?

Interval arithmetic is based on defining the four elementary arithmetic operations on intervals. Let $\boldsymbol{x} = [\underline{x}, \overline{x}]$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = [\underline{y}, \overline{y}]$ be intervals. Then, if $op \in \{+, -, *, /\}$, we define

$$\boldsymbol{x} \text{ op } \boldsymbol{y} = \{x \text{ op } y \mid x \in \boldsymbol{x} \text{ and } y \in \boldsymbol{y}\}.$$

For example, $\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{y} = [\underline{x} + \underline{y}, \overline{x} + \overline{y}]$. In fact, all four operations can be defined in terms of addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division of the endpoints of the intervals, although multiplication and division may require comparison of several results. The result of these operations is an interval except when we compute $\boldsymbol{x}/\boldsymbol{y}$ and $0 \in \boldsymbol{y}$.

Verified Computations Intro.

Why Interval Arithmetic?

- With *directed rounding*, we can bound roundoff error in the computations. We can combine interval arithmetic with tools such as fixed-point iteration theorems to
 - automatically verify rigorous bounds, from approximate solutions.
 - automatically prove theorems.
- Interval arithmetic provides *rigorous bounds on the ranges* of functions.
 Posession of such bounds can be powerful, especially in *rigorous global optimization*.

An Example of Interval Arithmetic

$$[-1,2]([3,4] + [-5,6])) = [-1,2]([-2,10]) = [-10,20],$$

whereas

$$[-1,2][3,4] + [-1,2][-5,6] = [-4,8] + [-10,12] = [-14,20]$$

Here,

$$[-14, 20] = \{x \mid x = x_1 + x_2, x_1 \in [-4, 8], \\ x_2 \in [-10, 12]\},\$$

and [-14, 20] contains the range of xy + xzfor $x \in [-1, 2], y \in [3, 4]$, and $z \in [-5, 6]$.

Verified Computations Intro.

Interval Extensions of Functions

Definition. If f is a continuous function of a real variable, then an interval extension f is a function from the set of intervals to the set of intervals, such that, if x is an interval in the domain of f,

$$\{f(x) \mid x \in \boldsymbol{x}\} \subset \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}).$$

We also sometimes desire (and it is possible to arrange)

$$\boldsymbol{x} \subset \boldsymbol{y} \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) \subset \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}).$$

• We may obtain such interval extensions of a polynomial by replacing real operations by corresponding interval operations. For example, if $p(x) = x^2 - 4$, then p([1, 2])may be defined by

$$p([1,2]) = ([1,2])^2 - 4 = ([1,4]) - [4,4]$$

= [-3,0].

Verified Computations Intro.

Interval Extensions of Transcendental Functions

We may use the mean value theorem or Taylor's theorem. For example, say \boldsymbol{x} is an interval and $a \in \boldsymbol{x}$. Then, for any $y \in \boldsymbol{x}$, we have

$$\sin(y) = \sin(a) + (y - a)\cos(a) - \frac{(y - a)^2}{2}\sin(c)$$

for some c between a and y. If a and y are both within a range where the sine function is non-negative, then we obtain

$$\sin(y) \in \sin(a) + (\boldsymbol{x} - a)\cos(a) - \frac{(\boldsymbol{x} - a)^2}{2}$$

Verified Computations Intro.

Interval Extensions of Transcendental Functions

(continued)

Specifically, if $\boldsymbol{x} = [.1, .3]$ and we use a = .2, we would obtain the "value"

$$sin([.1, .3]) \subseteq sin(.2) + [-.1, .1] cos(.1) \\
- \frac{([-.1, .1]^2)}{2} \\
\subseteq [.0998, .0999] \\
+ [-.1, .1] [.995, .996] \\
+ [-.005, 0] \\
= [-.0048, .1995]$$

• Sharper interval extensions may be obtained in specific cases by using e.g. monotonicity of the original function.

Verified Computations Intro.

Pitfalls to Naive Interval Arithmetic

- For rigorous bounds on roundoff error, one may be tempted to translate floating-point computer codes by merely changing the data types.
- Due to interval dependencies, such naive development often is unsuccessful.
- Interval arithmetic is successful if it is applied to appropriate tasks and with appropriate algorithms.
 - It provides rigorous results from the computer arithmetic.
 - It can actually result in *significantly faster* algorithms.
- Researchers continue to make advances to enlarge the domain in which interval analysis can make computations rigorous and reliable.

Verified Computations Intro.

Nonlinear Equations

Interval Newton Methods

Interval analysis can be used either to

- Construct rigorous bounds around an approximate solution, in which an actual solution must lie.
- Exhaustively search a region to find all roots of a nonlinear system.

Verification is easier than exhaustive search. However, both tasks are based on *computational existence / uniqueness theorems*.

Verified Computations Intro.

Computational Existence / Uniqueness

A Simple Example

Take n = 1 and $f(x) = x^2 - 4$, take $\boldsymbol{x} = [1, 2.5]$, and take an initial guess $\check{x} = 1.75$ Then, following the derivation of the classical Newton method, any solutions x^* of f(x) = 0in \boldsymbol{x} must obey

$$f(x^*) - f(\check{x}) = f'(\xi)(x^* - \check{x}), \text{ whence}$$
$$x^* = \check{x} - \frac{f(\check{x})}{f'(\xi)}, \text{ whence}$$
$$x^* \in \check{x} - \frac{f(\check{x})}{f'(x)},$$

where f'(x) is an interval extension to the derivative f'(x).

$$ilde{m{x}} = \check{x} - rac{f(\check{x})}{f'(m{x})}$$

is called an *interval Newton method*.

Verified Computations Intro.

A Simple Example

(Continued)

In addition, if $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} \subset \boldsymbol{x}$, connections of interval arithmetic with classical fixed point theory give that there is a unique solution to $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$ in \boldsymbol{x} , and hence in $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$. In particular, for the example, the interval Newton iteration equation becomes

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = \check{\boldsymbol{x}} - \frac{\check{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 - 4}{2\boldsymbol{x}} \\ = 1.75 - \frac{-.9375}{[2, 5]} \\ = 1.75 - [.1875, .46875] \\ = [1.9375, 2.21875] \subset \boldsymbol{x},$$

so we may conclude that there is a unique root of f in \boldsymbol{x} .

Verified Computations Intro.

Computational Existence / Uniqueness

Multivariate Problems

Computational existence / uniqueness works the same way for multivariate problems, with interval extensions to the Jacobi matrix, or, more generally, *Lipschitz matrices* or *slope matrices* replacing the interval derivative. We now outline this is more detail.

Verified Computations Intro.

Multivariate Existence / Uniqueness

Regularity

Lemma 1 Suppose $f : \mathbf{x} \to \mathbb{R}^n$, where

 $\boldsymbol{x} = ([\underline{x}_1, \overline{x}_1], \dots, [\underline{x}_n, \overline{x}_n]) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

and \mathbf{A} is a Lipschitz matrix, such as a point-wise interval extension of the Jacobian matrix of f over \mathbf{x} . If \mathbf{A} is regular, then any root of f in \mathbf{x} is unique.

Proof: Suppose $x^* \in \boldsymbol{x}$ and $x \in \boldsymbol{x}$ have $f(x^*) = 0$ and f(x) = 0. If \boldsymbol{A} is a Lipschitz matrix, then there is an $A \in \boldsymbol{A}$ such that

$$f(x^*) - f(x) = 0$$

= $A(x^*) - A(x)$
= $A(x^* - x)$.

If $x^* \neq x$, then A would have a null vector, contradicting the regularity of **A**. \Box

Verified Computations Intro.

March 15, 2002 SLU Colloquium–14

Multivariate Interval Newton Methods

An *interval Newton method* is defined by an iteration of the form

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{N}(f; \boldsymbol{x}, \check{x}) = \check{x} + \boldsymbol{v},$$
 (1)

where

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{A}, -f(\check{x})) \subset \mathbf{v},$$

where A is a Lipschitz matrix for f over xand $\Sigma(A, -f(\check{x}))$ is that set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ such that there exists an $A \in A$ with $Ax = -f(\check{x})$.

Theorem 2 Suppose \tilde{x} is the image of xunder an interval Newton method. If $\tilde{x} \subseteq x$, it follows that there exists a unique solution of f(x) = 0 within x.

Verified Computations Intro.

Interval Newton Methods

Illustration



In this case, an interval Newton method proves existence.

Verified Computations Intro.

On Interval Newton Methods

- Proof of the interval Newton existence / uniqueness theorem proceeds from properties of interval arithmetic and the contraction mapping theorem, Miranda's theorem, or the Brouwer fixed point theorem (depending on context and particulars).
- Reasonable bounding sets \boldsymbol{v} can be obtained by various methods, such as the preconditioned interval Gauss–Seidel method or preconditioned interval Gaussian elimination.
- Iteration of interval Newton methods leads to a locally quadratically convergent process.

Verified Computations Intro.

A Multivariate Interval Newton Method Example

Interval Gauss-Seidel Method

Assume $f(x) = (f_1(x), \ldots, f_n(x))$ is continuously differentiable. Then the mean value theorem gives

$$f_i(x_i) = f_i(x_{\neg i}, \check{x}_i) + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}(x_{\neg i}, \xi_i)(x_i - \check{x}_i),$$

whence $f_i(x) = 0$ provided

$$x_{i} = \check{x}_{i} - f_{i}(x_{\neg i}, \check{x}_{i}) / \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}(x_{\neg i}, \xi_{i})$$
$$\subseteq \check{x}_{i} - f_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\neg i}, \check{x}_{i}) / \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\neg i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i})$$

The above inclusion forms the Interval Gauss–Seidel iteration equation.

Verified Computations Intro.

Underlying Mathematics to Analyze the Interval Gauss–Seidel Method

Miranda's Theorem

Theorem 3 Suppose $x \in \mathbb{IR}^n$, and let the faces of x be denoted by

$$oldsymbol{x}_{\underline{i}} \ = \ oldsymbol{(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},\underline{x}_i, x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n)}^T \ oldsymbol{x}_{\overline{i}} \ = \ oldsymbol{(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},\overline{x}_i, x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n)}^T \,.$$

Let $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)^T$ be a continuous function defined on \boldsymbol{x} . If

 $\boldsymbol{f}_{i}^{\ u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\underline{i}})\boldsymbol{f}_{i}^{\ u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\overline{i}}) \leq 0 \tag{2}$

for each *i* between 1 and *n*, then there is an $x \in \mathbf{x}$ such that f(x) = 0.

Verified Computations Intro.

Interval Gauss–Seidel Method

Verification Properties

Do sequentially for 1 = 1 to n:

- 1. $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_i \leftarrow \check{x}_i f_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\neg i}, \check{x}_i) / \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\neg i}, \boldsymbol{x}_i)$
- 2. $\boldsymbol{x}_i \leftarrow \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_i$

Then:

- 1. If $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_i \subseteq \boldsymbol{x}_i$ after each step 1, then the hypotheses of Miranda's theorem are satisfied, and, hence, there exists a solution to $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$ within \boldsymbol{x} .
- 2. If $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_i \subseteq \boldsymbol{x}_i$ after each step 1, then $\boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{x})$ must be regular, and hence, the solution in \boldsymbol{x} is also unique.

Note: The system f(x) = 0 is usually *preconditioned* by a point matrix Y to make the Jacobi matrix Yf'(x) approximately diagonal.

Verified Computations Intro.

Preconditioned Interval Gauss–Seidel

An Example

$$f_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 - 4x_2, f_2(x_1, x_2) = x_2^2 - 2x_1 + 4x_2,$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{x} = (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2)^T = ([-0.1, 0.1], [-0.1, 0.3])^T.$$

$$\boldsymbol{x}^* = (0, 0)^T, \text{ of } f(\boldsymbol{x}^* = 0 \text{ is unique in } \boldsymbol{x}. \text{ Take}$$

$$\check{\boldsymbol{x}} = (0, .1)^T, \text{ so } f(\check{\boldsymbol{x}}) = (-.4, .41)^T, \text{ and}$$

$$\boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\boldsymbol{x}_1 & -4 \\ -2 & 2\boldsymbol{x}_2 + 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} [-.2, .2] & -4 \\ -2 & [3.8, 4.6] \end{pmatrix}.$$

Verified Computations Intro.

An Example

(Continued)

Take Y to be the inverse of the midpoint matrix of $f'(\boldsymbol{x})$:

$$Y = \{ m(\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})) \}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -.525 & -.5 \\ -.25 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $Y\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} [0.895, 1.105] & [-.2, .2] \\ [-.05, .05] & 1 \end{pmatrix},$
 $Yf(\check{\mathbf{x}}) = (.005, .1)^T$, and

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1} = 0 - \frac{-.005 + [-.2, .2][-.2, .2]}{[.895, 1.105]}$$
$$\subseteq \frac{[-.035, .045]}{[.895, 1.105]} \subseteq [-.0392, .0503]$$
$$\subset \boldsymbol{x}_{1} = [-.1, .1].$$

Similarly, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 \subset \boldsymbol{x}_2$, so there is a unique root of f in \boldsymbol{x} .

Verified Computations Intro.

Applications of Interval Newton Existence/Uniqueness

- Global, exhaustive search for all solutions to a nonlinear system of equations.
- Rigorous, tight bounds on solutions to linear and nonlinear systems, given solutions to approximate systems.
- Global optimization.
- Infallible step controls for continuation methods.
- Computation of the topological degree.
- Verification of a particular value of the topological degree.

Finding All Roots

- In *univariate* problems, interval Newton methods can be iterated with *extended interval arithmetic* to *always* find *all* roots.
- In *multivariate* problems, interval Newton methods can be combined with *generalized bisection* and *binary search* to *always* find *all* roots.
- In both instances, failure modes are benign:
 - Failure can only occur by exceeding the computer's resources or because of the limited resolution of the floating-point numbers.
 - When failure occurs, the algorithms still print a list of boxes within which all roots must lie.

Verified Computations Intro.

Global Optimization

With interval methods, we can:

Find, with certainty, the global minimum of the nonlinear objective function

$$\phi(x) = \phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \tag{3}$$

where bounds \underline{x}_i and \overline{x}_i are known with $\underline{x}_i \leq x_i \leq \overline{x}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

To do this, a *branch-and-bound* algorithm with the following general features is used.

- A technique for partitioning a region into subregions is combined with a technique for computing a lower bound ϕ and an upper bound $\overline{\phi}$ of the objective function ϕ over a region \boldsymbol{x} .
- The subboxes are placed in a list in order of increasing $\underline{\phi}$.
- The list is purged of those boxes for which $\underline{\phi}$ is greater than $\overline{\phi}$ for some other box in the list.
- An interval Newton method accelerates the procedure by quickly and rigorously locating critical points.

Verified Computations Intro. March 15, 2002 SLU Colloquium-25

Global Optimization

An Example

Suppose we are to minimize $f(x) = x^2 - 2x + 1$ (written in that way), and the search region is $\boldsymbol{x} = [.5, 2]$.

- f((.5+2)/2)) = f(1.25) = 0.0625, so the best estimate of the minimum is 0.0625.
- Split [.5, 2] to [.5, 1.25] and [1.25, 2]; compute \boldsymbol{f} [.5, 1.25] = [-1.25, 1.5625]; f(0.875) = 0.015625 < 0.0625, so 0.015625 is the new best estimate. Store [.5, 1.25] on a list \mathcal{L} .
- f([1.25, 2]) = [-1.4375, 2.5]; f(1.625) = .390625, so there is no new best estimate. Store [1.25, 2] on \mathcal{L} before [.5, 1.25], since -1.4375 < -1.25.

Verified Computations Intro.

Optimization

(Continued)

- Continue such processing by popping the first item from the list.
 - if a lower bound on a box is bigger than the best estimate, discard the box.
 - Put a box on a final list if its diameter is small.
- Interval Newton methods can be used to accelerate the process.
- Traditional optimization codes are useful to get good best estimates.

Successes in Practical Areas

Summary

- Linear algebraic systems: A posteriori error bounds (Korn and Ullrich).
- Computer-Aided design and computational geometry (Snyder, Patrikalakis, Maekawa, etc.)
- Chemical engineering models; computational chemistry (Stadtherr and his students)
- Rigorous proofs in computational dynamics (Recent proof by Warwick Tucker that the Lorenz equations have a strange attractor)
- Other mathematical proofs (Thomas Hales' recent proof of the Kepler conjecture)
- Proof of feasibility of particle accelerator design (Martin Berz and his students)
- Proof of bounds on asteroid orbits.

Verified Computations Intro.

Our Interval-Based Global Optimization Software

GlobSol

- A Fortran 90 package
 - well-tested.
 - self-contained.
- Solves constrained and unconstrained global optimization problems
- Separate program solves square algebraic systems of equations.
- Utility programs for interval and point evaluation, etc.
- Subroutine / module libraries for interval arithmetic, automatic differentiation, etc.
- Publicly available free of charge http://interval.louisiana.edu/GlobSol/download_GlobSol.html

Verified Computations Intro.

Use of GlobSol

An Example

The following Fortran 90 program defines the objective function

minimize $\phi(X) = -2 * x_1^2 - x_2^2$

subject to constraints

Verified Computations Intro.

Use of GlobSol

An Example, continued

PROGRAM SIMPLE_MIXED_CONSTRAINTS USE CODELIST_CREATION PARAMETER (NN = 2)TYPE(CDLVAR), DIMENSION(NN) :: X TYPE(CDLLHS), DIMENSION(1):: PHI TYPE(CDLINEQ), DIMENSION(2) :: G TYPE(CDLEQ), DIMENSION(1) :: C

CALL INITIALIZE CODELIST(X)

 $PHI(1) = -2 \times X(1) \times 2 - X(2) \times 2$ G(1) = X(1) * 2 + X(2) * 2 - 1G(2) = X(1) * 2 - X(2)C(1) = X(1) * 2 - X(2) * 2

CALL FINISH CODELIST END PROGRAM SIMPLE MIXED CONSTRAINTS

Verified Computations Intro. March 15, 2002 SLU Colloquium-31

(continued)

- 1. Running the above program produces an internal representation, or <u>code list</u>.
- 2. The code list is then symbolically differentiated.
- 3. The optimization code interprets the derivative code list at run time to produce floating point and interval evaluations of the objective function, gradient, and Hessian matrix.
- 4. A separate data file defines the initial search box, the bound constraints, and the initial guess, if any.
- 5. A configuration file GlobSol.CFG supplies algorithm options, such as which interval Newton method to use and how to precondition the linear systems.

Verified Computations Intro.

The Data File

1D-5		! General domain tolerance	
0	1	! Bounds on the first variable	
0	1	! Bounds on the second variable	
FF		! X(1) has no bound constraints F F	! }
no bo	und	constraints	

Subsequent optional lines can give an initial guess point.

Verified Computations Intro.

Output File - abridged first part

Output from FIND_GLOBAL_MIN on 04/06/1999 at 08:03:52. Version for the system is: March 20, 1999

Codelist file name is: MIXEDG.CDL Box data file name is: MIXED.DT1

Initial box: 0.0000E+0

0.0000E+00, 0.1000E+01] [0.0000E+00, 0.1000E+01]

BOUND_CONSTRAINT: F F F F

CONFIGURATION VALUES:

EPS_DOMAIN: 0.1000E-04 MAXITR: 60000 DO_INTERVAL_NEWTON: T QUADRATIC: T FULL_SPACE: F VERY_GOOD_INITIAL_GUESS:F USE_SUBSIT:T OUTPUT UNIT:7 PRINT_LENGTH:1 Default point optimizer was used.

Verified Computations Intro.

Output File – abridged second part

THERE WERE NO BOXES IN COMPLETED_LIST. LIST OF BOXES CONTAINING VERIFIED FEASIBLE POINTS: Box no.:1 Box coordinates: [0.7071E+00, 0.7071E+00] [0.7071E+00, 0.7071E+00] PHI: [-0.1500E+01, -0.1500E+01] Level: 3 Box contains the following approximate root: 0.7071E+00 0.7071E+00 OBJECTIVE ENCLOSURE AT APPROXIMATE ROOT: [-0.1500E+01, -0.1500E+01] UO: [0.3852E+00, 0.3852E+00] U: [0.5777E+00, 0.5777E+00] [0.0000E+00, 0.1000E+01] V: 0.1926E+00, 0.1926E+00] Γ INEQ_CERT_FEASIBLE: FΤ NIN_POSS_BINDING:1 Number of bisections: 1 BEST_ESTIMATE: -0.1500E+01 Total number of boxes processed in loop: 4 Overall CPU time: 0.5000D-01

Verified Computations Intro. March 15, 2002 SLU Colloquium-35